

22
22
In re Hagenbuch
Serial No. 08/102,531

SP P
B
In place of the foregoing deleted paragraph, insert the following substitute paragraph.

223
4/8/94
This application is a continuation of Serial No. 07/964,126, filed October 20, 1992, now abandoned, which is a continuation of Serial No. 07/351,179, filed May 12, 1989, now abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of Serial No. 06/604,739, filed September 23, 1986, now U.S. Patent ^{910,648} 4,845,648, which is a continuation-in-part of Serial No. 06/874,273, filed June 13, 1986, now U.S. Patent ⁹¹⁰ 4,831,539, which is a continuation-in-part of Serial No. 06/717,042, filed April 1, 1985, now U.S. Patent ⁹¹⁰ 4,839,835, which is a continuation-in-part of Serial No. 06/604,739, filed April 27, 1984, now U.S. Patent ⁹¹⁰ 4,630,227. --L

REMARKS

22
B
In the Examiner's amendment attached to the Supplemental Notice of Allowance mailed October 22, 1993, applicant believes that the last four identified patent applications and the patents that issued therefrom should be listed in reverse chronological order --i.e., from the most recent to the oldest with respect to filing dates. Therefore, in the foregoing proposed amendment, the first paragraph on page 1 of the application, which recites the earlier applications that applicant claims the benefit of under 35 U.S.C. §120, have been listed in reverse chronological order. By way of comparison, the paragraph as set forth in the Examiner's amendment listed the first two applications in reverse chronological order and the last four in chronological order.

Finally, applicant notes that the Official Filing Receipt (form PTO-103X) lists the parent applications and patents in the same order as set forth in the Examiner's amendment. To the extent that the Examiner agrees that applicant's proposed